Prescription Drug Pricing Strategies *Rhode Island Health Care Spending Trends Public Forum*

Maureen Hensley-Quinn, Senior Program Director, NASHP Center for Drug Pricing



nashp.org

About NASHP

- A national, nonpartisan organization committed to developing and advancing state health policy innovations and solutions to improve the health and well-being of all people.
- NASHP provides a unique forum for the productive exchange of strategies across state government, including the executive and legislative branches.
- To accomplish our mission, we:
- Advance innovation in developing new policies and programs
- **Surface** and support implementation and spread of best practices

- Ensure availability of info, data, tools
- Encourage sustainable cross sector solutions by strengthening partnerships
- Elevate the state perspective



NASHP'S CENTER FOR STATE RX DRUG PRICING

NASHP's Center for State Rx Drug Pricing works with states on model legislation and other strategies to take on high drug costs by:



Examples of NASHP Rx Drug Pricing Resources



Model Legislation and Contracts





Why focus on Rx?



Commercial spending on prescription drugs is increasing faster than other medical service sectors

Source: HCCI 2021 Cost and Utilization Report, 2023

NASHP nashp.org



Source: HCCI 2021 Cost and Utilization Report, 2023

Nationally, prescription drug spending growth has been steady, even in 2020 with onset of COVID-19



VASHP

Price Increases Moderate, Launch Prices Rise



nashp.org

NASHP

Median Percentage WAC Increase on Brand-name Drugs

Average Launch Prices Increased by 20% per year



Source: Rome et al, JAMA (June 2022)

Specific Drug Groups & Product Types Drive Spending

Gross Spending on Medicaid Outpatient Prescriptions by Drug Group, 2019



Biologics as a Share of Number of Medicaid Prescriptions and Outpatient Drug Gross Spending, 2015-2019



From 2015 – 2019, the ten most costly drug groups accounted for *almost two-thirds* of Medicaid spending before rebates.

nashp.org

IASH

Spending on biologics is disproportionate to their utilization. Biologics accounted for just over 1% of prescriptions, but **15% - 21% of Medicaid spending**.

Source: Utilization and Spending Trends in Medicaid Outpatient Prescription Drugs, 2015-2019 (KFF)

Flow of Products, Funds, & Services



NASHP

nashp.org

10



State Policy Interventions



Snapshot of State Legislative Action

Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023*	Total	In # of states
Number of States Enacting Laws	13	28	37	19	23	19	9	50	
Total Laws Enacted	17	45	64	43	53	32	15	269	50
Affordability Review	1	0	3	0	2	2	2	10	8
Consumer Cost Sharing	1	0	4	13	13	8	3	42	26
Pharmacy Benefit Manager	7	32	33	21	23	17	9	142	46
Study	0	1	6	1	2	2		12	9
Transparency	3	4	7	4	7	2		27	20
Volume Purchasing	0	0	2	0	1			3	3
Wholesale Importation from Canada	0	1	4	2	1	1		9	6
Other	5	7	5	2	4		1	24	19
*As of April 27, 2023									

Since 2017, legislation to address prescription drug costs has been *introduced* in all 50 states and over 200 laws have been *enacted* to address prescription drug costs.

Overview of State Rx Pricing Policy

Goal	Policy options	Impact		
Transparency	 All Payer Claims Database Reporting across the supply chain with state level analysis 	Foundational!		
Supply Chain Oversight	 Pharmacy Benefit Managers Contracts with health plans, understand payment for services Eliminate spread pricing 	Increases efficiency and provides insight, but doesn't affect price		
Direct Consumer Assistance	 Limit or reduce cost sharing Rebate pass through to the consumer at point of purchase 	Important for individuals, but can have disproportionate impact statewide		
Affordability Measures	 Import prescription drugs from Canada (challenging to implement!) Adopt International Reference Pricing Leverage the IRA and adopt Medicare Reference Pricing Prohibit Unsupported Price Increases 	Potential for largest impact on overall statewide spending, including per person \$		

State Policy Tools to Affect Price: Upper Payment Limits, Financial Penalties, & Active Purchasing



Referenced-Based Prices: International Reference Rates Model

Why:

- Foreign countries pay a fraction of what Americans pay for prescription drugs
- Rate setting is a common approach in the health care sector one that can be extended to setting rates for prescription drugs
- International prices offer a fair, easy-to-implement approach to rate setting

Implementation Structure:

- State Employee Health Plan identifies 250 costliest drugs
- Insurance Commissioner crosswalks to Canadian prices
- Payers cannot pay more than that limit for drug
- Canadian price becomes upper payment limit for all payers (except Medicaid)
- ERISA: Self-funded plans may participate voluntarily
- Protects local pharmacies



NASHP Examples of Canadian Rates

Drug Name & Dosage	US Price	Canadian Reference Rate*	Price Difference	Savings off US Prices
Humira pen injector (40 mg/0.4 ml pen) (arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's)	\$8,109.66	\$1,046.08	\$7,063.58	87%
Stelara (90 mg/ml syringe) (arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's)	\$13,258.50	\$3,158.80	\$10,099.70	76%
Enbrel pen injector (50 mg/1 ml pen) (arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's)	\$6,419.24	\$1,049.08	\$5,370.16	84%
Ozempic (4 mg/3 ml syringe) (diabetes)	\$821.01	\$142.90	\$678.11	83%
Skyrizi pen injector (150 mg/1 ml pen) (arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's)	\$7,087.79	\$3,615.42	\$3,472.37	49%
Dupixent pen injector (300 mg/2 ml pen) (eczema, asthma)	\$3,386.18	\$1,374.88	\$2,011.30	59%
Humira pen injector (40 mg/0.8 ml pen) (arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's)	\$7,724.08	\$1,046.46	\$6,677.62	86%
Trulicity pen injector (1.5 mg/0.5 ml pen) (diabetes)	\$810.32	\$123.28	\$687.04	85%
	Average discour	76%		

Referenced-Based Prices: Leveraging the IRA

- The recently enacted Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) presents another source of reference-based pricing for states
- How Many Drugs and When: HHS will negotiate for top 10 Part D drugs, with prices effective 2026, eventually reaching top 20 drugs across Parts B and D in 2029
- Which Drugs: Single-source drugs that (1) are at least 7 years (small molecule) or 11 years (biologic) beyond approval; and (2) account for at least \$200 million spend across Parts B and D
- **Exceptions:** Drugs marketed as generic/biosimilar (or biologics with reference biosimilar pending entrance within 2 years), orphan drugs targeting single approved disease, and plasma products
- Maximum Fair Price (MFP): Range from 75% to 40% of non-federal AMP; the longer a drug has been on the market, the lower the MFP



Medicare Drug Price Negotiations

Process:

- HHS compiles list of drugs that meet the criteria
- From those drugs HHS selects the first 10 drugs off the list in order of highest to lowest spending (not discretionary)
- HHS requests information from manufacturers of drug on list
- HHS reviewing information and offers a Maximum Fair Price
- Manufacturers can accept or propose a counteroffer
- HHS publishes final and binding Maximum Fair Price which is binding
- Strong penalties for lack of compliance/No judicial review



Drug Price Negotiation Program: Possible High-Spend Drugs for Negotiation

Brand Name	Generic Name	Manufacturer	Therapeutic Treatment	Total Spend (2020)
Eliquis	Apixaban	Bristol-Myers Squibb	Blood clots	~\$9.9 billion
Xarelto	Rivaroxaban	Janssen Pharmaceuticals	Blood clots	~\$4.7 billion
Humira	Adalimumab	AbbVie	Rheumatoid arthritis	~\$4.2 billion
Januvia	Sitagliptin Phosphate	Merck	Type 2 diabetes	~\$3.8 billion
Trulicity	Dulaglutide	Eli Lilly & Co.	Type 2 diabetes	~\$3.3 billion

Medicare Drug Price Negotiations: Opportunities for States to Reference MFPs

NASHP's International Reference Rate Model can be adapted to:

Reference Medicare Maximum Fair Prices instead of Canadian Prices

or

Reference Medicare Maximum Fair Prices and Canadian Prices



Prohibiting Unsupported Price Increases

Why:

- Under this model, manufacturers are fined for certain price increases that are unsupported by clinical evidence
- States can use penalty revenue to provide cost assistance to consumers
- Penalties would target frequently prescribed, high-cost drugs, such as Humira, whose price doubled from \$19,000 to \$38,000 between 2012 and 2018.
- By leveraging an existing public report, the model minimizes administrative burden for states

Implementation Structure:

- ICER produces an annual report identifying drugs with unsupported price increases outpacing 2x medical inflation that are the greatest drivers of net spending
- State tax authority is used to assess penalties on manufacturers with an unsupported price increase
 - Penalties = 80% of excess revenues (i.e., revenue from unsupported portion of price increase)
- Manufacturers must report information on total sales revenue in the state to the Tax Assessor to determine the penalty owed



Potential UPI Penalty Impact

Impact:

- Because ICER's analysis targets drugs with the greatest impact on net spending, penalties can result in millions in revenue for a state
- Model Act specifies that revenue must be used to offset costs to consumers

Hypothetical Penalty Example:

- Imagine a drug with an unsupported price increase of \$360:
 - 2018 The list price (WAC) for a month's supply was \$3,000
 - 2019 The list price (WAC) for a month's supply was \$3,360
- The 2018 price adjusted for inflation at 2.3% would have been approximately \$3,070, so the penalty to the manufacturer for the unsupported price increase in 2019 would be calculated as follows:
 - Excess Revenue: \$3,360 \$3,070 = \$290 x 10,000 prescriptions x 12 months = \$34.8 million
 - Penalty: \$34.8 million x 80% penalty = \$27.8 million



2019 ICER Report Results

	Q42016 to Q42018 Wholesale Acquisition Cost (WAC) Increase	Q42016 to Q42018 Estimated Average Net Price Increase	US Spending Impact of Net Price Increases in 2017 and 2018 (in Millions)
Humira	19.1%	15.9%	\$1,857
Lyrica	28.3%	22.2%	\$688
Truvada	14.3%	23.1%	\$550
Rituxan	17.0%	13.8%	\$549
Neulasta	14.6%	13.4%	\$489
Cialis	26.2%	32.5%	\$403
Tecfidera	16.7%	9.8%	\$313

According to ICER, the net unsupported price increases for these seven drugs was \$4.8 billion over two years.

Challenges

- 340B program federal program providing low-cost acquisition price to certain entities, including hospitals, some pharmacies, etc., that allows full reimbursement amount from payer, creating a revenue source for provider
 - Potential solution transparency on 340B to understand the scope of the program, the funds provided to key recipients, etc. to inform policy
- Strong stakeholder pushback
- Identify infrastructure support which office, agency in state responsible for execution and oversight of the policy?



Opportunity

 Significantly lower prices on prescription drugs for residents and payers, including employers and their employees, health plans, the state, etc.



Thank you!



NATIONAL ACADEMY FOR STATE HEALTH POLICY

nashp.org





NASHP | National Academy for State Health Policy