

Developing an Alternative Payment Methodology Plan

Rhode Island Alternative Payment Methodology Advisory Meeting March 5, 2015



- I. Background
- 2. Current Payer Payment Methodologies
- Definition of Alternative Payment Methodologies (APMs)
- 4. Discuss Possible Facilitators of APM Adoption
- 5. Discuss APM Targets for 2016
- 6. Next Steps



1. Background: SIM Grant

- Rhode Island health care leaders and policymakers recognize the importance of moving from FFS volume incentives that lead to overuse, misuse and fragmented care to value-based incentives that promote improved quality and efficient care delivery
- The SIM grant promotes adoption of Alternative Delivery System and Payment Methodologies
 - Funds new models of care or enhancements of existing models that are alternative to volume-based delivery of care models



Background: Health Care Compact

- Health Care Compact signed by leading payers and providers in December 2014 includes as key recommendations:
 - the expanded use of APMs to reward value and patientcentric care delivery
 - that state and health care sector leaders should set an aggressive target and timetable to reduce traditional FFS use in Rhode Island
 - that a stakeholder group be formed immediately to work collaboratively with the Administration to transform RI's health care payment system



Background: Affordability Standards

- Section d(2) of the revised OHIC Affordability Standards recognizes the need to
 - reduce the power of FFS volume incentives, and
 - move to APMs that provide incentives for better quality and efficient service delivery
- Regs require each health insurer to submit a schedule to increase annually its use of APMs for:
 - Hospital services
 - Medical and surgical services
 - Primary care services



Background: APM Committee

- OHIC Commissioner to convene multistakeholder APM Committee annually to develop annual APM targets and APM plan
- First set of meetings in March and April 2015 to develop a plan and targets for 2016
- October 2015 meetings tasked with developing APM plan and targets for 2017, including addressing medical and surgical specialty professional providers.
- Committee to meet October I and complete work before January I, annually thereafter.



Background: APM Plan

- The APM plan is to include:
 - Annual targets
 - Types of payments that qualify as APM payments
 - Steps payers will take to achieve APM targets
- The 2015 APM plan must be submitted to the Commissioner by May I
- If the plan is not developed, or is viewed as inadequate by the Commissioner, the Commissioner may require a plan to be implemented by insurers



Goals for Today's Meeting

- I. Establish a baseline understanding by reviewing summary of current APM use by health insurers
- 2. Discuss criteria for determining which payment methodologies qualify as APMs
- 3. Discuss steps, programs, initiatives to facilitate use of APMs by RI insurers and providers
- 4. Discuss the target for 2016
- 5. Delineate next steps

2. Summary of Current APM Activity in RI

HEALTH INSURANCE COMMISSIONER





2. Summary of Current APM Activity in RI

Distribution of Payer Spend by Payment Methodology

						=				
	Payments Made Under Population- based Contracts		Bundled Payments		Р4Р		PCMH Supplemental Payments		Total Medical Expense	
Fully Insured	\$	188,818,574	\$	2,424,403	\$	18,080,223			\$	1,066,311,117
Self Insured	\$	231,811,235	\$	2,418,458	\$	19,242,913			\$	1,121,089,098
Commercial	\$	415,633,600	\$	4,842,862	\$	37,323,136	\$	11,459,885	\$	2,187,400,215
Market Total										
Percent of Total	19.0%		0.2%		1.7%		0.5%			
Commercial										
Medical Expense										
			1.7%]	19.0%	 0.2% Payments Made Under Population-based Contracts 0.5% Bundled Payments PCMH Supplemental Payments 					

78.5%

Payments

Fee for Service & Other Payments

P4P

2. Summary of Current APM Activity in RI[®]

HEALTH INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

Percent of Medical Payments Made Through an Alternative Payment Methodology (including P4P & PCMH Supplemental Payments) by Insurer





3. Draft Definition of APMs

- Payment methodologies structured such that predominant provider economic incentives are refocused from volume of services provided to delivering care in a manner that:
 - Improves quality of care
 - Improves population health
 - Reduces cost of care growth
 - Improves patient experience



Draft Definition of APMs (cont'd)

APMs must measure cost performance relative to a "budget" that may be prospectively paid or retrospectively reconciled, and must include meaningful downside risk over time

• APMs include:

- Total Cost of Care budget models
- Limited scope-of-service budget models (e.g., primary care capitation)
- Episode-based payments (procedure or condition)
- Other non-FFS payments that meet the definition of an APM (e.g., Maryland's global budgets)



APM Exclusions

 APMs do NOT include pay-for-performance models or quality bonuses alone, although these models can complement APMs.



Discussion

- Are these the appropriate criteria?
- What other criteria should be added?
- Are there any criteria that should be modified?

4. Possible Facilitators of APMAdoption



- Implement consistent models across payers by developing selected common elements of APMs, e.g., common set of episodes for multi-payer use, common patient attribution methodology
- Develop common measures and reports to track provider success in improving quality and efficiency; share results publicly

Possible Facilitators of APM Adoption

- Build provider capacity to assume risk by:
 - Providing targeted learning collaboratives by type of APM
 - Holding seminars for provider leaders considering entering into APM agreements
 - Supporting expansion of community health teams to support small practices
 - Providing technical assistance to providers with identified need for support, e.g., safety net providers (new RWJF grant program)
 - Providing "exoskeleton" for a virtual ACO (reporting, funds management, etc.)

Possible Facilitators of APM Adoption

- Create momentum for change by lowering or freezing fee schedules for PCPs not in an ACO
 - Strategy used by BCBSMA to move providers into its Alternative Quality Contract
- Create tiered products that introduce incentives for enrollees to use highly efficient and high quality providers



Discussion

- Would one or more of these approaches encourage small practices to transform?
- Are these options viable?
- What other considerations should be on the table?



- 5. 2016 Targets
- For the baseline period (July 2013 June 2014) 19.7% of medical payments were made through APMs.
- Proposed 2016 Target:
 - ▶ 40% of medical payments shall be made through APMs.



6. Next Steps

- OHIC will draw upon discussion to perform any indicated research and to develop a first draft of recommendations
- Draft recommendations will be circulated in advance of next meeting
- OHIC may reach out to discuss particular issues with some advisory committee members